

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/835,121	04/13/2001	Margaret M. Leahy	OSJ-002 4218  EXAMINER	
959 75	90 07/27/2005			
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP.			COE, SUSAN D	
28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1655 DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/835,121	LEAHY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· · ·	Susan D. Coe	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 June 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,14 and 21-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 11,14 and 21-33 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Olaim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/835,121 Page 2

Art Unit: 1655

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 6, 2005 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 27-33 have been added.
- 3. Claims 11, 14, and 21-33 are pending.
- 4. In Paper No. 5, applicant elected with traverse phenolic acid, specifically cinnamic acid for species D.
- 5. Claims 11, 14, and 21-33 are examined on the merits solely in regards to the elected species.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 11, 14, and 21-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating cancer or hypercholesterolemia, does not reasonably provide enablement for prevention of these disorders. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Art Unit: 1655

Undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention as claimed due to the quantity of experimentation necessary; limited amount of guidance and limited number of working examples in the specification; nature of the invention; state of the prior art; relative skill level of those in the art; predictability or unpredictability in the art; and breadth of the claims. In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Applicant's claims are broadly drawn to a composition that is able to prevent cancer or hypercholesterolemia. In order to be enabled for prevention of a condition, applicant must demonstrate that the invention is able to prevent the condition in each and every instance of that condition. Applicant's specification does not set forth any evidence that the claimed product is able to prevent cancer or hypercholesterolemia for all potential causes of cancer or hypercholesterolemia. It is acknowledged in the art that the prevention of cancer or hypercholesterolemia is not guaranteed. The art teaches means of reducing the risk of developing cancer or hypercholesterolemia using a combination of diet, exercise and other lifestyle factors. The art does not acknowledge that pharmaceutical products alone are able to prevent cancer or hypercholesterolemia (see www.cnn.com/HEALTH/library/CA/00024.html and www.cnn.com/HEALTH/library/DS/00178.html). Applicant's specification does not discuss these known means of reducing the risk of developing cancer or hypercholesterolemia. Thus, a person of ordinary skill in the art would be forced to experiment unduly in order to determine if applicant's invention actually function as claimed. Therefore, the claims are not considered enabled for the prevention of cancer or hypercholesterolemia.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/835,121

Art Unit: 1655

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 11, 14, and 21-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite because the metes and bounds of "enriched" are not defined. It is unclear what levels of the cinnamic acid must be present in the cranberry extract in order for the extract to be considered "enriched."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 11, 14, and 21-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat. No. 5,320,861.

US '861 teaches a juice extracted from cranberries. This extract is used as a food (see claims). A dietary supplement and a pharmaceutical product are considered structurally the same as a food. The reference does not specifically teach that the extract is enriched in cinnamic acid. However, according to applicant's specification, the product taught in US '861 contains the desired levels of the compounds and is an extract used as applicant's composition (see pages 6 and 10). The reference also does not specifically teach that the composition has the same effects on the body as those claimed by applicant; however, since the composition taught by the

Art Unit: 1655

reference is the same as the claimed composition, the reference composition would inherently have to have the same effects if applicant's invention functions as claimed.

#### 9. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Coe whose telephone number is (571) 272-0963. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:30 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding can be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

> Susan D. Coe **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1655